



Fintech

2019

First Edition

Editors:

Barbara Stettner & Bill Satchell

Global Legal Insights

Fintech

2019, First Edition

Editors: Barbara Stettner & Bill Satchell

Published by Global Legal Group

GLOBAL LEGAL INSIGHTS - FINTECH
2019, FIRST EDITION

Editors

Barbara Stettner & Bill Satchell

Production Sub Editor

Amy Norton

Senior Editors

Caroline Collingwood

Rachel Williams

General Consulting Editor

Alan Falach

Publisher

Rory Smith

We are extremely grateful for all contributions to this edition.

Special thanks are reserved for Barbara Stettner and Bill Satchell for all of their assistance.

Published by Global Legal Group Ltd.

59 Tanner Street, London SE1 3PL, United Kingdom

Tel: +44 207 367 0720 / URL: www.glgroup.co.uk

Copyright © 2019

Global Legal Group Ltd. All rights reserved

No photocopying

ISBN 978-1-912509-85-0

ISSN 2632-8666

This publication is for general information purposes only. It does not purport to provide comprehensive full legal or other advice. Global Legal Group Ltd. and the contributors accept no responsibility for losses that may arise from reliance upon information contained in this publication. This publication is intended to give an indication of legal issues upon which you may need advice. Full legal advice should be taken from a qualified professional when dealing with specific situations. The information contained herein is accurate as of the date of publication.

Printed and bound by CPI Group (UK) Ltd, Croydon, CR0 4YY

August 2019

CONTENTS

Preface	Barbara Stettner & Bill Satchell, <i>Allen & Overy LLP</i>	
General chapters	<i>Cryptocurrency as a commodity: The CFTC's regulatory framework</i> David Lucking & Vinod Aravind, <i>Allen & Overy LLP</i>	1
	<i>Crypto-asset trading platforms: A regulatory trip around the world</i> Todd W. Beauchamp, Stephen P. Wink & Simon Hawkins, <i>Latham & Watkins LLP</i>	9
	<i>Cross-border financing of fintech: A comparison of venture and growth fintech financing trends in Europe and the United States</i> Jonathan Cardenas, <i>Stanford Law School</i>	22
Country chapters		
Australia	Peter Reeves, <i>Gilbert + Tobin</i>	36
Brazil	Vinicius Sahione, Alexandre Vargas & Luiz Felipe Di Sessa, <i>Cescon, Barrieu, Flesch & Barreto Advogados</i>	45
Cyprus	Christiana Aristidou & Evdokia Marcou, <i>Democritos Aristidou LLC</i>	58
Czech Republic	Petr Vybíral & Tomáš Kirner, <i>Allen & Overy (Czech Republic) LLP</i>	70
France	Hubert de Vauplane & Victor Charpiat, <i>Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP</i>	82
Germany	Dr. Alexander Behrens, Dr. Stefan Henkelmann & Kai Schadtler, <i>Allen & Overy LLP</i>	93
India	Shilpa Mankar Ahluwalia & Himanshu Malhotra, <i>Shardul Amarchand Mangaldas & Co</i>	104
Indonesia	Harun Reksodiputro, Dion Alfadya & Riandi Apriliansyah, <i>Ginting & Reksodiputro in association with Allen & Overy LLP</i>	114
Ireland	Liam Flynn & Lorna Daly, <i>Matheson</i>	123
Israel	Nir Porat, Roni Berkowitz & Ella Rosenberg, <i>Porat Group</i>	129
Italy	Marco Penna, Marylisa Izzo & Gabriele Conni, <i>Legance – Avvocati Associati</i>	137
Japan	Ken Kawai, Shunsuke Aoki & Keisuke Hatano, <i>Anderson Mōri & Tomotsune</i>	143
Korea	Won H. Cho, Hye In Lee & Hyeseon Han, <i>D'LIGHT Law Group</i>	151
Luxembourg	Prof. Jean-Louis Schiltz & Nadia Manzari, <i>Schiltz & Schiltz S.A.</i>	163
Malta	Dr. Karl Sammut & Dr. Bradley Gatt, <i>sammut.legal</i>	174
Mexico	Ramón Bravo Herrera, Martín Cortina Camargo & Héctor Cuevas González, <i>Deloitte Legal (Mexico)</i>	184
Netherlands	Roderik Vrolijk & Soeradj Ramsanjhal, <i>Stibbe N.V.</i>	190
Nigeria	Prof. Gbolahan Elias, Ebimobowei Jikenghan & Doyinsola Love Kazeem, <i>G. Elias & Co.</i>	196
Saudi Arabia	Suhaib Adli Hammad, <i>Hammad & Al-Mehdar Law Firm</i>	205
Singapore	Lim Chong Kin, Benjamin Gaw & Elizabeth Tong, <i>Drew & Napier LLC</i>	210
Slovenia	Mina Kržišnik, LL.M., <i>IURICORN LTD</i>	223
Spain	Guillermo Yuste de Ayala, <i>Andersen Tax & Legal Iberia, S.L.P.</i>	233

Sweden	David Frydinger & Caroline Olstedt Carlström, <i>Cirio Law Firm</i>	244
Switzerland	Lukas Morscher, Fedor Poskriakov & Isy Isaac Sakkal, <i>Lenz & Staehelin</i>	251
United Kingdom	Ian Mason, Sushil Kuner & Samantha Holland, <i>Gowling WLG</i>	262
USA	Barbara Stettner, Hilary Sunghee Seo & Jonathan Flynn, <i>Allen & Overy LLP</i>	274

PREFACE

Welcome to the first edition of *Global Legal Insights – Fintech*. In less than a generation, the financial system has gone from one based predominantly on paper cheques and manually balanced ledgers, to one where cheques are digital and ledgers are increasingly balanced through the ether using blockchain technology. Even the very idea of money is being redefined. Perhaps the only thing that is certain is that the financial markets of the future will not resemble the rapidly changing world we live in today.

News of new technologies in the financial markets, commonly known as “Fintech”, is constant. Potential breakthroughs range from the small – an improved system for processing payments – to the profound – news that global commodities markets are adopting smart contracts to trade and track shipments in real-time without the use of a traditional clearinghouse or intermediaries. It is a constant challenge for practitioners to keep pace with these developments.

Understanding the legal and regulatory implications of Fintech compounds the challenge faced by market participants in three significant ways.

- Fintech is borderless. New technologies naturally seek the broadest audience and application possible, which means operating across borders and in numerous jurisdictions, each with its own code of laws and customs that can be ignored only at great peril. Understanding and embracing Fintech means understanding and embracing a global mindset, difficult though that is in practice.
- Fintech is everywhere. New financial technologies sometimes come in a discrete, easy-to-identify package (e.g., Bitcoin), but more often they infiltrate and change well-established systems and processes in novel and unexpected ways. The line between old and new is rarely clear. This makes interpreting the legal and regulatory issues that arise from new applications of Fintech particularly challenging.
- Fintech is changing the rules. Even though most Fintech represents a combination of new ideas and existing markets, the result is fundamentally changing the way financial markets operate. Laws and regulations are struggling to catch up. While they do, new and potentially innovative products and services continue to be judged against old and often inappropriate standards, leading to delay, inefficiency, and frustration. The regulatory system is changing, but what replaces it come in the form of an entirely new system or a series of new rules and interpretations that allow the system to adapt incrementally?

By providing a comprehensive and systematic overview of the relevant laws and regulations across 26 key jurisdictions, paired with targeted chapters analysing important and timely subjects that should be of interest to practitioners, this publication is meant to offer critical perspective in an otherwise disorienting environment. New technologies will continue to emerge, and the tools available to regulators will continue to evolve in response. As that happens, resources like this are necessary to provide a fixed frame of reference from which to understand what is new, what is important, and how to respond.

We would like to thank all of the authors for their invaluable contributions and hope that this book will be a valuable resource.

Barbara Stettner and Bill Satchell,
Allen & Overy LLP

Australia

Peter Reeves
Gilbert + Tobin

Approaches and developments

Australia has seen a continued proliferation of active fintech businesses, with payments, investment and data emerging as the key sectors for disruption.

Businesses have been exploring new automated service methods including the use of robo-advisors for distributing financial advice in more cost-effective ways. There has been sustained attention on blockchain and distributed ledger technology (**DLT**) to the extent that fintechs have begun formalising use cases for DLT, such as managing supply chains, making cross-border payments, trading derivatives, managing assets and managing digital currency exchanges. The Australian Securities Exchange (**ASX**), Australia's primary securities exchange, is currently in the process of rolling out a DLT-based replacement for its clearing and settlement process. Similarly, initial coin offerings (**ICOs**) have become an alternative method of funding for blockchain or cryptocurrency-related projects.

As discussed below under “Regulatory bodies”, Australian regulators have generally been receptive to the growth of the Australian fintech ecosystem and there has been considerable discussion around the opportunities, risks and challenges that have arisen for market participants, customers and regulators. Australian policy-makers and bodies continue to make regulatory and legislative developments to ensure the scope of emerging services is adequately captured within the existing financial services framework. This has included increased technology-neutral or fintech-specific regulatory guidance to assist businesses in understanding their obligations, amended legislation to bring fintech services providers within the remit of existing regimes, and the introduction of new legislation to provide greater consumer protection.

Following the delivery of the Final Report of the Royal Commission into Misconduct in the Banking, Superannuation and Financial Services Industry (**Royal Commission**), regulatory focus has pivoted to make consumer protection the utmost priority for incumbent financial institutions. Highlighting the industry's sales over service-related misconduct, the Royal Commission's findings have demonstrated the need for industry-wide change to the culture and governance of financial services providers to prioritise the interests of consumers. In the future, regulators are likely to take a more stringent approach to enforcement. For example, the Australian Securities and Investments Commission (**ASIC**), which has announced a new “why not litigate” regulatory stance, has been empowered with additional penalty provisions under the *Treasury Laws Amendment (Strengthening Corporate and Financial Sector Penalties) Act 2018* (Cth) to provide greater deterrence value against misconduct in the financial services sector. This presents an opportunity for fintechs, which are historically focused on delivering customer-centric outcomes and are often better placed to respond quickly to regulatory change.

The implementation of the new national Consumer Data Right (**CDR**) framework is anticipated to address many of the issues identified in the Royal Commission, and have a profound effect on the financial services industry by encouraging customers to switch service providers and open the market to new fintech businesses. The CDR framework will first be applied to the banking sector under the “Open Banking” regime, enabling consumers to exercise greater access and control over their banking data. The open banking regime is expected to commence in February 2020.

There have been a number of relevant legislative changes in Australia (see “Fintech offering in Australia” below). In April 2019, the Treasury Laws Amendment (Design and Distribution Obligations and Product Intervention Powers) Bill 2019 received royal assent, which introduces a design and distribution obligation for financial services firms as well as a product intervention power for ASIC. The new obligations will bring accountability for issuers and distributors to design, market and distribute financial and credit products that meet customer needs. To be phased in over two years, the new regime will require product issuers to ensure products are targeted and offered to the right customers and enable ASIC to intervene when inappropriate products are distributed. More than ever, it will be important for financial service providers, including fintechs, to consider the suitability of products and disclosure documents for their own unique customer base.

Fintech offering in Australia

Fintech businesses have been disrupting the Australian banking, investment and wealth management, payments, advisory, trading and fundraising sectors through offers of alternatives to the relatively concentrated traditional providers of these financial services. These alternative offers generally focus on providing financial services in a way that prioritises customer experience and outcomes, utilises technology solutions such as apps and smart devices in the delivery of financial services, or disintermediates the provision of financial services.

Fintech businesses must comply with all existing laws and regulations for financial services and consumer credit activities in Australia. The Australian Government has taken steps to alleviate the regulatory burden on fintechs looking to test the Australian market prior to a full product or service launch. See “Key regulations and regulatory approaches” below for further discussion.

Regulatory guidance has also been updated to address the fintech sector. For example, ASIC has released specific guidance clarifying the licensing, conduct and disclosure obligations that apply to the provision of digital financial product advice. This includes requiring nomination of a person within the business who understands and will be responsible for the ongoing monitoring of the algorithms used to produce any advice provided.

ASIC has clarified how Australian financial services laws may apply to ICOs as an alternative funding mechanism. In summary, the legal status of an ICO depends on the structure, operation and the rights attached to the tokens offered. Tokens offered during the ICO may trigger licensing, registration and disclosure requirements, if the tokens are financial products (e.g., interests in managed investment schemes, securities, derivatives or non-cash payment facilities). Cryptocurrency-related funding rounds are increasingly being considered an offering of a financial product and there is a growing trend for offerors to preemptively step into the regulatory framework by means of a security token offering (**STO**). Blockchain technology continues to capture the attention of established businesses. In the past couple of years, Australia has witnessed the application of DLT in solutions across a

broad range of financial market operators, financial institutions, financial service providers and fintechs which has prompted new regulation. In 2018, ASIC introduced a two-tiered market licensing regime for financial market operators and updated its corresponding regulatory guidance. Specifically, the guidance reflects a risk-based assessment that will be undertaken, which is consistent with the approach taken internationally to the administration of market licensing. Under the revised Australian market licence (**AML**) regime, market venues can be designated as being either Tier 1 or Tier 2, depending on their nature, size, complexity and the risk they pose to the financial system, investor confidence and trust. While Tier 1 market venues are, or are expected to become, significant to the efficiency and integrity of (and confidence in) the Australian financial system, Tier 2 licences will be able to facilitate a variety of market venues and will have reduced obligations to accommodate new and specialised market platforms. The tiered market regime is expected to impact, amongst others, market operators and operators of market-like venues, as well as platforms seeking to offer secondary trading.

The Australian banking sector is highly regulated with stringent licensing, conduct (including reporting) and regulatory capital requirements which act as significant hurdles for new businesses entering the market. Any entity that conducts any “banking business”, such as taking deposits (other than as part-payment for identified goods or services) or making advances of money, must be licensed as an authorised deposit-taking institution (**ADI**). Recently, the Australian Prudential Regulation Authority (**APRA**) released a new Restricted ADI framework which allows new businesses entering the banking industry to conduct a limited range of banking activities for two years while they build their capabilities and resources. After such time, they must either transition to a full ADI licence or exit the industry. In January 2019, the first Restricted ADI licensee was granted a full ADI licence which allows it to operate as an ADI without restrictions under the *Banking Act 1959* (Cth). The licensee is a “neobank”, which is a wholly digital quasi-bank that intends to provide full banking services to customers via a solely mobile platform. These types of entities use an internet or mobile platform to interact with customers and offer a different user experience from a traditional bank.

Fintech businesses will generally have obligations under the *Anti-Money Laundering and Counter-Terrorism Financing Act 2006* (Cth) (**AML/CTF Act**) and *Anti-Money Laundering and Counter-Terrorism Financing Rules Instrument 2007 (No.1)* (**AML/CTF Rules**). The AML/CTF Act applies to entities that provide “designated services” with an Australian connection. In 2018, the AML/CTF Act was amended to capture digital currency exchange providers within the scope of the regime by registering and enrolling with the Australian Transaction Reports and Analysis Centre (**AUSTRAC**). Registered exchanges are required to implement know-your-customer processes to adequately verify the identity of their customers, adopt and maintain an AML/CTF programme as well as meet ongoing obligations to monitor and report suspicious and large transactions. The money-laundering risk associated with social media platforms is likely to become a focus for Australian regulators such as AUSTRAC. In early 2019, the Asia/Pacific Group on Money Laundering published a report on the capacity for money laundering and terrorism financing through the abuse of social media services, particularly due to the anonymity of users and speed of payment flows. The report provided measures for authorities to overcome detection, investigation and prosecution challenges. AUSTRAC has not yet responded to the report; however, we would expect to see consideration of the risks incorporated in any future proposed reforms to the AML/CTF Act.

Regulatory and insurance technology

The rising cost of compliance has prompted many companies using artificial intelligence (AI), customer due-diligence (e.g., “know-your-customer”) and data breach monitoring (e.g., “know-your-data”) technologies to invest in regulatory technology, or regtech. ASIC has indicated the benefits of regtech to provide better outcomes for consumers and has hosted annual forums to provide an environment for collaborative information sharing between businesses and to promote stakeholder engagement. It has also been reported that ASIC has actively encouraged incumbent financial institutions to partner with fintechs to harness regtech to automate regulatory reporting, manage compliance and ensure clarity to how regulation is interpreted.

ASIC has announced three events to be held over 2019 which are designed to further promote regtech adoption with respect to monitoring and analysing financial advertising, detecting problematic financial advice, and highlighting the use case for voice analytics and voice-to-text technology for regulatory activity. The industry has called for ASIC to design regulation and guidance in formats aiding regtech applications, to provide best practice-style guidance on compliance and the use of algorithms in the provision of financial services, and to harmonise industry standards with respect to risk management, compliance and reporting obligations. AUSTRAC has also hosted a regtech showcase, inviting demonstrations from providers of innovative solutions to regulatory challenges presented in the AML/CTF space.

Investments in insurance technology in Australia have increased, with companies and fintechs focusing on forging cross-sector alliances in order to embed their offerings into alternative value propositions. Insurance technology has the potential to disrupt individual sections of the insurance value chain, augment the existing processes of underwriting risk and predicting loss, and improve the existing capabilities of insurers, reinsurers, intermediaries and service providers. The increase in partnerships and alliances between insurance fintechs and incumbents with established customer bases will be effective for insurance start-ups to fuel expansion.

There have not been any specific changes to legislation or regulation due to regtech or insurance technology; however, this may change in the future as uptake increases and becomes more mainstream.

Regulatory bodies

Australia has a twin peaks model of regulation with respect to financial services:

1. ASIC is Australia’s primary corporate, markets, financial services and consumer credit regulator. It is responsible for regulating consumer protection and maintaining market integrity within the financial system. ASIC supervises the conduct and regulation of Australian companies, financial markets, and financial service and consumer credit providers.
2. APRA is concerned with maintaining the safety and soundness of financial institutions, promoting financial stability in Australia and is tasked with protecting the interests of depositors, policy-holders and superannuation fund members. APRA oversees ADIs (e.g., banks, building societies and credit unions), general and life insurers, friendly societies, reinsurers and superannuation funds.

AUSTRAC is responsible for administering Australia’s anti-money laundering and counter-terrorism financing regime under the AML/CTF Act and the AML/CTF Rules. AUSTRAC may pursue a wide range of enforcement sanctions under the AML/CTF Act which include

imposing civil and criminal penalties (which can be significant in value), enforceable undertakings, infringement notices, remedial directions, and power to cancel or suspend registrations of providers of digital currency exchange and designated remittance services. AUSTRAC plays an active role in setting and implementing international standards and is a member of regional and global groups such as the Financial Action Task Force and the Asia/Pacific Group on Money Laundering.

The Office of the Australian Information Commissioner (**OAIC**) administers the *Privacy Act 1988* (Cth) (**Privacy Act**) which regulates the handling of personal information by Federal government agencies and some private sector organisations. The Privacy Act includes 13 Australian Privacy Principles (**APPs**), which impose obligations on the collection, use, disclosure, retention and destruction of personal information. The APPs extend to an act done, or practice engaged in, outside Australia by an organisation that has an “Australian link” (including where it carries on business in Australia and has collected or held personal information in Australia, either before or at the time of the act or practice).

Fintechs may also be subject to the prohibitions laid out in the *Australian Consumer Law*, which is enforced by the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission (**ACCC**). Broadly, these include prohibitions on misleading and deceptive conduct, false or misleading representations, unconscionable conduct and unfair contract terms. Whilst the *Australian Consumer Law* does not apply to financial products or services, many of these protections are enforced by ASIC either through mirrored provisions in the *Australian Securities and Investments Commission Act 2001* (Cth) (**ASIC Act**) or through delegated powers.

The Reserve Bank of Australia is Australia’s central bank and provides a range of banking services to the Australian Government and its agencies, overseas central banks and official institutions. It is also responsible for maintaining the stability of the financial system through monetary policy and regulating payment systems.

The Fair Work Commission is Australia’s national workplace relations tribunal and is responsible for administering the provisions of the *Fair Work Act 2009* (Cth) (**Fair Work Act**), which governs the regulation of employment in Australia. In relation to hiring, minimum terms and conditions of employment for most employees (including professionals) are governed by modern awards, which sit on top of the National Employment Standards. The Fair Work Commission’s powers and functions broadly include dealing with unfair dismissal claims, anti-bullying claims, unlawful termination claims, setting and reviewing minimum wages in modern awards and making orders to stop or suspend industrial action.

Key regulations and regulatory approaches

Regulatory framework for fintech businesses

Fintech businesses must comply with the applicable licensing, registration and disclosure obligations under Australia’s financial services regime.

Fintech businesses carrying on a financial services business in Australia must hold an Australian financial services licence (**AFSL**) or be exempt from the requirement to be licensed. Financial services are broadly defined under the *Corporations Act 2001* (Cth) (**Corporations Act**), which is administered by ASIC, to include the provision of financial product advice, dealing in financial products (as principal or agent), making a market for financial products, operating registered schemes and providing custodial or depository services. A financial product is a facility through which, or through the acquisition of which, a person makes a financial investment, manages a financial risk or makes a non-cash payment.

The Australian credit licence (**ACL**) regime applies to entities who engage in consumer credit activities in Australia, such as providing credit under a credit contract or consumer lease. Fintech businesses that provide marketplace lending products and related services will constitute consumer credit activities and will generally trigger the requirement to hold an ACL, or otherwise be exempt from the requirement to hold an ACL. Consumer credit activity is regulated by ASIC and under the *National Consumer Credit Protection Act 2009* (Cth) and associated regulations.

Fintech businesses may also need to hold an AML where they operate a facility through which offers to buy and sell financial products are regularly made (e.g., an exchange). If an entity operates a clearing and settlement mechanism which enables parties transacting in financial products to meet obligations to each other, the entity must hold a clearing and settlement facility licence or be otherwise exempt.

As discussed above in “Regulatory bodies”, the Privacy Act regulates the handling of personal information by Federal Government agencies and some private sector organisations. In 2018, the Notifiable Data Breaches (**NDB**) scheme was introduced and mandates that entities regulated under the Privacy Act are required to notify any affected individuals and OAIC in the event of a data breach (i.e., unauthorised access to or disclosure of information) which is likely to result in serious harm to those individuals. The NDB scheme applies to agencies and organisations that the Privacy Act requires to take steps to secure certain categories of personal information.

Fintech innovation and regulatory developments

Australian regulators and policy-makers in the financial services sector have sought to improve and engage with technology-focused businesses. The financial services regulatory regime adopts a technology-neutral approach so that services are regulated equally, irrespective of the delivery method. Regulators have supported the market entrance of fintechs by streamlining access and offering informal guidance to enhance regulatory understanding. Both ASIC and AUSTRAC have established Innovation Hubs to assist fintech businesses more broadly in understanding their obligations under Australian law. ASIC’s Innovation Hub provides tailored information and access to informal assistance intended to streamline the AFSL process for fintech start-ups. AUSTRAC’s Fintel Alliance also has an Innovation Hub targeted at combatting money laundering and terrorism financing and improving the fintech sector’s relationship with government and regulators.

In December 2016, ASIC issued instruments establishing a fintech licensing exemption and released regulatory guidance detailing its regulatory sandbox for fintech businesses to test financial services, financial products and credit activities for up to 12 months without holding an AFSL or ACL. There are strict eligibility requirements for both the types of businesses that can enter the regulatory sandbox and the products and services that qualify for the licensing exemption.

Restrictions

At the time of writing, there have not been any prohibitions or restrictions on fintech business types. Australian regulators and policy-makers have generally sought to encourage and support fintech businesses, provided such businesses comply with applicable laws (including financial services and consumer laws). However, as discussed above under “Approaches and developments”, regulators have begun moving from observational positions to enforcement with respect to fintechs. For example, in September 2018, ASIC took action against five ICOs targeting retail investors for failure to comply with the relevant licensing and disclosure laws.

Cross-border business

Cross-border collaboration

Australian regulators and policy-makers have sought to improve their understanding of, and engagement with, fintech businesses by regularly consulting with industry on proposed regulatory changes and entering into international cooperation and information-sharing agreements. ASIC has entered into a number of cooperation agreements and information sharing agreements with overseas regulators for the purpose of facilitating cross-border financial regulation and removing barriers to market entry. Under these arrangements there is a sharing of information on fintech market trends, encouraging referrals of fintech companies and sharing insights from proofs of concept and innovation competitions. Through these agreements, regulators hope to further understand the approach to regulation of fintech businesses in other jurisdictions, in an attempt to better align the treatment of these businesses across jurisdictions. ASIC currently has either information sharing or cooperation agreements with numerous jurisdictions, including the China Securities Regulatory Commission, Hong Kong's Securities and Futures Commission, the Monetary Authority of Singapore, the Swiss Financial Market Supervisory Authority, the United States Commodity Future Trading Commission, the Capital Markets Authority of Kenya, Indonesia's Otoritas Jasa Keuangan and Canada's Ontario Securities Commission.

ASIC has also committed to supporting financial innovation in the interests of consumers by joining the Global Financial Innovation Network (**GFIN**), which was formally launched in January 2019 by a group of financial regulators across 29 member organisations. The GFIN is dedicated to facilitating regulatory collaboration in a cross-border context and provides more efficient means for innovative businesses to interact with regulators.

In 2019, a number of fintech associations formed the Asia-Pacific FinTech Network which is designed to facilitate greater collaboration, cooperation and innovation across the region. The network will focus on sectors including regtech, blockchain, payment systems, artificial intelligence and financial inclusion. The network is predicted to accelerate fintech development and lower financial costs both domestically and internationally. At the time of writing, nine countries have formally signed a Statement of Intent.

Passporting

Carrying on a financial services business in Australia will require a foreign financial service provider (**FFSP**) to hold an AFSL or rely on an exemption. At the time of writing, Australia has cooperation (passporting) arrangements with regulators in foreign jurisdictions, which enable FFSPs regulated in those jurisdictions to provide financial services to wholesale clients in Australia without holding an AFSL. Before providing financial services, they must disclose to clients that they are exempt from holding an AFSL and that they are regulated by the laws of a foreign jurisdiction.

ASIC has announced that it will be proceeding with a proposal to repeal passport relief and will implement a new regime that will require FFSPs to apply for a foreign AFSL (i.e., a modified form of an AFSL for FFSPs). Passport relief will cease to be available from 30 September 2019.

Cross-border business

In June 2018, the Australian government passed the *Corporations Amendment (Asia Region Funds Passport) Act 2018* (Cth), which incorporates the Asia Region Funds Passport (**Passport**) into the Corporations Act. The Passport is a region-wide initiative to facilitate the offer of interests in certain collective investment schemes established in Passport member

economies to investors in other Passport member economies. It aims to provide Australian fund managers with greater access to economies in the Asia-Pacific by reducing existing regulatory hurdles. Australia, Japan, Korea, New Zealand and Thailand are all signatories to the Passport's Memorandum of Cooperation. While the Passport officially launched on 1 February 2019, at the time of writing, Australia is the only participating economy to have passed laws to enable the Passport to operate.

In addition to the Passport, the Corporate Collective Investment Vehicle scheme (**CCIV**) will be a new type of investment vehicle that aims to expand the range of collective investment schemes offered in Australia and will enhance the competitiveness of funds by improving access to overseas markets. The CCIV regime is intended to complement the Passport, which will allow Australian fund managers to pursue overseas investment opportunities through a company structure. Public consultation on the third tranche of legislation closed on 26 October 2018 and two draft Bills implementing the CCIV regime were released for public consultation on 17 January 2019.

**Peter Reeves****Tel: +61 2 9263 4000 / Email: preeves@gtlaw.com.au**

Peter Reeves is a partner in Gilbert + Tobin's Corporate Advisory group and is an expert and market-leading practitioner in financial services regulation and funds management. He leads the Financial Services and Fintech practices at G+T. Peter advises domestic and off-shore corporates, financial institutions, funds, managers and other market participants in relation to establishing, structuring and operating financial services sector businesses in Australia. He also advises across a range of issues relevant to the fintech and digital sectors, including platform structuring and establishment, payment solutions, blockchain solutions and global crypto-asset strategies. *Chambers 2019* ranks Peter in Band 1 for Fintech.

Gilbert + Tobin

Level 35, Tower Two, International Towers Sydney, 200 Barangaroo Avenue, Barangaroo, Sydney NSW 2000, Australia
Tel: +61 2 9263 4000 / Fax: +61 2 9263 4111 / URL: www.gtlaw.com.au

Other titles in the **Global Legal Insights** series include:

- **Alternative Real Estate Investments**
- **AI, Machine Learning & Big Data**
- **Banking Regulation**
- **Blockchain & Cryptocurrency Regulation**
- **Bribery & Corruption**
- **Cartels**
- **Commercial Real Estate**
- **Corporate Tax**
- **Employment & Labour Law**
- **Energy**
- **Fund Finance**
- **Initial Public Offerings**
- **International Arbitration**
- **Litigation & Dispute Resolution**
- **Mergers Control**
- **Mergers & Acquisitions**
- **Pricing & Reimbursement**

Strategic partner:

